



Mesia Hovsepian
71-26 Loubet Street
Forest Hills, New York 11375

October 20, 2004

United States Department of Commerce
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450

Attn: Commissioner for Patents

Application #: 10/072,484
Filing Date: 10/20/04
Applicant Name: Mesia Hovsepian
Confirmation #: 3358
Title: New-tech Articulator
Examiner: Todde Manahan

Dear Sirs:

This is in response to your request dated 10/5/04, wherein you stated that the Amendment is not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 which has been appended for applicant's convenience.

- **The Substitute Specification is not double spaced, with no columns.**
- **Each Section of the application must be separate i.e. drawings, abstract, specification, claims.**

On 2/7/02, I sent you 5 pages of the claim which was written (double spaced, in separate sections, i.e. drawings, abstract, claim) per specifications requested, of which copy is attached and applies to your present request.

With the previous application, you sent me a sample of an application, claim and drawings, which was done in columns. This is the reason that I resubmitted my patent using the same (in columns) pattern as the sample.

Please clarify which is the correct way to file my patent, claims and drawings and if possible, please specify/point the needed corrections on the claim which I am sending you. This will make it easier for me to understand and comply with your request.

I am enclosing the 5 pages of the claim which I filed initially. Please note that I am not including the drawings, since you already have them in my file.

Thank you for your cooperation in this regard.

Sincerely,

Mesia Hovsepian



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER

100504

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on 06 July 2004 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): See 37 CFR 1.111.

The Amendment is not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 which has been appended hereto for applicant's convenience.

The Substitute Specification is not double spaced, with no columns (the double column format is ONLY permitted in the case of a Reissue Application), and accompanied by a verified statement that it contains no new matter.

Each section of the application must be separate, i.e. drawings, abstract, specification, claims.

Applicant should submit an argument under the heading "Remarks" pointing out disagreements with the examiner's contentions. Applicant must also discuss the references applied against the claims, explaining how the claims avoid the references or distinguish from them.

Further assistance may be obtained through the Independent Inventors Resource Website,
<http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/com/iip/index.htm>

Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Todd E. Manahan
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 3732